Estimation of parameters and state variables in an alcoholic fermentation process in a fed-batch bioreactor



Araújo Guimarães, Lucas; Cardoso Estumano, Diego; de Aviz Cardoso, Simone; Marques Viegas, Bruno

🕩 Lucas Araújo Guimarães

guimaraesaraujolucas@gmail.com Faculty of Biotechnology Federal University of Pará, Brasil

Diego Cardoso Estumano

dcestumano@ufpa.br Faculty of Biotechnology Federal University of Pará, Brasil

🕩 Simone de Aviz Cardoso

simoneaviz@ufpa.br Faculty of Biotechnology Federal University of Pará, Brasil

D Bruno Marques Viegas viegasmbruno@gmail.com Faculty of Biotechnology Federal University of Pará, Brasil

Latin-American Journal of Computing Escuela Politécnica Nacional, Ecuador ISSN: 1390-9266 ISSN-e: 1390-9134 Periodicity: Semestral vol. 10, no. 2, 2023 lajc@epn.edu.ec

Received: 09 February 2023 Accepted: 12 May 2023

URL: http://portal.amelica.org/ameli/journal/602/6024323008/

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8071222

Abstract: Energy consumption in the world is based on two types of sources: fossil fuels and renewable energy. In this case, bioethanol presents itself as an alternative resource to fossil fuels, whose production can occur through specific processes called alcoholic fermentation. In parallel, the growing demand for energy has resulted in the development of even more efficient systems and technologies. In this work, mathematical modeling and simulation was performed to represent the kinetics of alcoholic fermentation in a fed-batch bioreactor. The modeling was developed taking into account the microbial inhibition caused by the presence of excess substrate and product through the Tosetto and Hoppe-Hansford models. In the simulation, Bayesian statistics was used as a tool to estimate the kinetic parameters and the state variables of the bioprocess. The estimates were obtained through the use of a particle filter proposed by Liu and West, with 500 particles and experimental measurements from the literature, whose approach presented 99% accuracy and proved to be effective for describing alcoholic fermentation.

Keywords: particle filter, bioreactor, kinetic parameters, alcoholic fermentation, mathematical modeling.

I. INTRODUCTION

Bioethanol is a predominant ethanol strand derived from agricultural materials specifically carbohydrates, such as starch (corn grain) and sucrose (sugarcane), and lignocellulosic biomass. Furthermore, the disposal of feedstock in bioprocesses is a characteristic of bioethanol production [1].

By definition, bioprocesses makes the use of microbial, animal and plant cells, and cellular components such as enzymes. Common or innovative products can be originated and harmful waste can be disposed



of through by this way. Bioprocessing is an essential part of industries such as food, chemicals, and pharmaceuticals [2].

Bioethanol is produced through a class of bioprocesses called fermentation processes. In turn, a fermentation system is composed of components such as the living cells of a microorganism (or biomass), the products of metabolism (or metabolites) and nutrients (or substrates) that are arranged in the fermentation medium [3].

These processes differ in the form of operation, such as the mode of substrate addition, product withdrawal, etc. In general, the operation regime of the process is divided into: discontinuous (batch), fed discontinuous (fed-batch) and continuous [4].

In processes involving alcoholic fermentation, fed-batch operation is used in about 75% of Brazilian distilleries, one of the main producing sources in the world, while the remaining 25% operate in continuous mode, using mainly Saccharomyces cerevisiae yeast as the ethanol-producing microorganism and agricultural materials as substrate. The operation is conducted at temperatures between 32 and 35 °C. The characteristics of the feed flow, which can last from 4 to 6 hours, are important for the maintenance of the process [5].

The fed-batch alcoholic fermentation consists of an initial stage where the process is discontinuous fed followed by another stage entirely of discontinuous process. The operation regime of the first stage starts with the feeding of a yeast suspension, called inoculum, occupying about 30% of the usable volume of the fermentation vessel, called bioreactor or fermenter. Then, the vessel receives the gradual addition of must, usually a liquid solution consisting of sugarcane juice or molasses diluted with sugarcane juice or water. Afterwards, the process is sequenced in batches until the total consumption of substrate [6].

Studying ways to optimize the fermentation process in order to obtain the maximum yield product is not an easy task. This fact is mainly due to the difficulty in obtaining measurements of the variables that are important in monitoring and controlling the process. To get around these difficulties, an alternative is to perform indirect measurements through appropriate mathematical models that describe the dynamic behavior of fermentation, including taking into account the action of chemical, physical and biological phenomena [7, 8]. In turn, the kinetics of the fermentative process consists primarily in the analysis of the evolution of the concentration values of one or more components of the system, as a function of fermentation time [3].

In this context, the application of the particle filter technique with the algorithm of Liu and West (2001) will be evaluated to perform the estimates of the state variables (substrate concentration, cell, product and volume) and the parameters of the alcoholic fermentation process, considering the already known mathematical modeling of the process [9].

II. Methodology

A. Mathematical modeling of alcoholic fermentation

The mathematical modeling considered for this study is phenomenological, unstructured, non-segregated modeling. Phenomenological models consist of a set of mathematical relationships, such as mass balance or conservation equations, among the variables of interest in the system under study. The unstructured aspect is observable when the cellular material is represented by a single variable, usually cell mass, without considering variations of intracellular components. While the non-segregated characteristic concerns the cell population considered homogeneous, that is, all the cells present the same behavior [4].

Due to mass conservation balances, phenomenological mathematical models of fermentative processes can be constituted by Ordinary Differential Equations (ODEs) suitable for representing the dynamic of homogeneous systems [10], as presented in (1-4).

$$\frac{\mathrm{dV}}{\mathrm{dt}} = \mathrm{F} \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{dC_x}{dt} = \left(\mu_x - \frac{F}{V}\right)C_x \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{dC_S}{dt} = \frac{1}{Y_{x/s}} \mu_x c_x + \frac{F}{V} \left(C_{\rm SF} - C_S \right) \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{dC_P}{dt} = \left(\frac{Y_{P/S}}{Y_{X/S}}\right) \mu_x C_x - \frac{F}{V} C_P \tag{4}$$

In the ODEs system, V is the volume of medium in the bioreactor in L, C_X is the cell concentration in g.L⁻¹, C_P is the product concentration in g.L⁻¹, C_{SF} is the substrate concentration in g.L⁻¹, C_{SF} is the substrate concentration at feed in g.L⁻¹, F is the substrate flow rate in L.h⁻¹, μ_X is the specific cell growth velocity in h⁻¹, $Y_{P/S}$ is the product yield relative to substrate in $g_{Cx,gCs}^{-1}$ and $Y_{X/S}$ is the cell yield relative to substrate in $g_{Cp,gCs-1}$

B. Modeling the specific speed of cell growth

Originally, the modeling of μ_X relies on basic theories of biochemistry. The concept of enzyme kinetics is, by analogy, extended to the microorganism, since it contains a considerable number of enzymes that catalyze the reactions of its metabolism. Thus, it is also assumed that the equations describing the inhibition mechanisms (competitive, noncompetitive, mixed, and acompetitive inhibition) of these agents on a single pure enzyme, are similarly manifested in the microorganism [11]. However, the traditional classification of models for the μ_X parameter is based on the inhibitory agent and can be divided into five groups: those free of inhibition; those that consider inhibition by the substrate, product, or even by the cells themselves; and hybrids that unite the previous inhibition models; as presented in Table I adapted from [1]. The kinetic models for μX evaluated in this work are by Tosetto (2002) [12] and Hoppe–Hansford (1982) [13].

Tosetto's model, shown in (15), also propagated as the modified Ghose (1979) model or Andrews/ Levenspiel model, is characterized by considering exponential inhibition by substrate and linear inhibition by product [14]. The Hoppe-Hansford model, shown in (12), considers only linear inhibition by the product.

C. Bayesian Statistic

Bayesian statistics is based on Bayes' theorem on three main steps: using accessible knowledge about a given parameter in a model through the a priori probability distribution; assigning the likelihood function using the parameter information available in the observed data; combining both the a priori probability distribution and the likelihood function into the form of the a posteriori probability distribution. The a posteriori probability distribution, as in (19), represents the updated knowledge [15].

Lucas Araújo Guimarães, et al. Estimation of parameters and state variables in an alcoholic fermen...

$$\pi \left(\mathbf{P} \mid \mathbf{Y} \right) = \frac{\pi (\mathbf{Y} \mid \mathbf{P}) \pi (\mathbf{P})}{\pi (\mathbf{Y})}$$
(19)

Equation (19) is in terms of P, which can represent unknown parameters and Y which can denote state variables. The function $\pi(P)$ is the a priori probability density, expressing the information of P prior to the measurement of Y; $\pi(Y|P)$ is the likelihood function, expressing the observed probability density of Y knowing P; $\pi(P|Y)$ is the a posteriori probability density function, i.e., the probability density of P given the prior information and the measured value of Y; $\pi(Y)$ is a normalization constant [16–18].

$$\pi(P|Y) = \alpha \times \pi(Y|P) \times \pi(P)$$
⁽²⁰⁾

Equation (19) can also be represented by (20), since $\pi(Y)$ represents a normalization constant. Thus, the a posteriori probability density function can be written as being proportional to the product of the likelihood function and the a priori probability density.

Monod (1942) (5) No Inhibition Moser (1958) (6) Contois (1959) (7) Substrate Andrews (1968) (8) inhibition Wu (1988) (9) Aiba - Shoda - (10) Product Levenspiel (1980) (11) Hoppe - Hansford (12) Cellular Lee - Pollard - (13) Ghose - Thyagi (14) (1979) Tosetto (15) Levenspiel/Lee - (16) Pollard - Coulman (17) Andrews/Lee - (17) Pollard - Coulman (17) Andrews/Lee - (17) Andrews/Lee - (17)	Classification	Author(s)	Modela		
No Inhibition Moser (1958) (6) Contois (1959) (7) Substrate inhibition Andrews (1968) (8) inhibition Wu (1988) (9) Aiba - Shoda - Nagatani (1968) (10) Product Levenspiel (1980) (11) Hoppe - Hansford (1982) (12) Cellular Lee - Pollard - Coulman (1983) (13) Ghose - Thyagi (1979) (14) Tosetto (15) Levenspiel/Lee - Pollard - Coulman (16) Andrews/Lee - Pollard - Coulman (17) Andrews/Lee - Pollard - Coulman (17) Andrews/Lee - Pollard - Coulman (17)		Monod (1942)	(5)		
Contois (1959) (7) Substrate inhibition Andrews (1968) (8) inhibition Wu (1988) (9) Aiba - Shoda - Nagatani (1968) (10) Product Levenspiel (1980) (11) Hoppe - Hansford (1982) (12) Cellular Lee - Pollard - Coulman (1983) (13) Ghose - Thyagi (1979) (14) Tosetto (15) Levenspiel/Lee - Pollard - Coulman (16) Andrews/Lee - Pollard - Coulman (17) Andrews/Lee - Pollard - Coulman (17) Andrews/Lee - (17)	No Inhibition	Moser (1958)	(6)		
Substrate inhibitionAndrews (1968)(8)Nu (1988)(9)Aiba - Shoda - Nagatani (1968)(10)Product InhibitionLevenspiel (1980)(11)Hoppe - Hansford (1982)(12)Cellular InhibitionLee - Pollard - Coulman (1983)(13)Cellular InhibitionLee - Pollard - Coulman (1983)(14)Ghose - Thyagi (1979)(14)Tosetto(15)Levenspiel/Lee - Pollard - Coulman(16)Andrews/Lee - Pollard - Coulman(17)Andrews/Lee - Pollard - Coulman(17)		Contois (1959)	(7)		
inhibition Wu (1988) (9) Aiba - Shoda - (10) Product Inhibition Hoppe - Hansford (12) Cellular Lee - Pollard - (13) Coulman (1983) (14) Ghose - Thyagi (14) (1979) (14) Tosetto (15) Levenspiel/Lee - (16) Pollard - Coulman (17) Andrews/Lee - (17) Pollard - Coulman (17)	Substrate	Andrews (1968)	(8)		
Aiba - Shoda - Nagatani (1968)(10)InhibitionLevenspiel (1980)(11)Hoppe - Hansford (1982)(12)Cellular InhibitionLee - Pollard - Coulman (1983)(13)Ghose - Thyagi (1979)(14)Tosetto(15)Levenspiel/Lee - Pollard - Coulman(16)Hybrid InhibitionAndrews/Lee - Pollard - Coulman(17)Andrews/Lee - Pollard - Coulman(17)	inhibition	Wu (1988)	(9)		
Product Inhibition Nagatani (1968) (10) Levenspiel (1980) (11) Hoppe - Hansford (1982) (12) Cellular Lee - Pollard - Inhibition Coulman (1983) Ghose - Thyagi (1979) (14) Tosetto (15) Levenspiel/Lee - (16) Pollard - Coulman (17) Andrews/Lee - (17) Andrews/Lee - (17)		Aiba - Shoda -	(10)		
Induct Levenspiel (1980) (11) Inhibition Hoppe - Hansford (1982) (12) Cellular Lee - Pollard - (1983) (13) Inhibition Coulman (1983) (14) Ghose - Thyagi (1979) (14) Tosetto (15) Levenspiel/Lee - Pollard - Coulman (16) Andrews/Lee - Pollard - Coulman (17) Andrews/Lee - Pollard - Coulman (17)	Product	Nagatani (1968)	(10)		
Hoppe - Hansford (1982) (12) Cellular Lee - Pollard - Coulman (1983) (13) Inhibition Ghose - Thyagi (1979) (14) Tosetto (15) Levenspiel/Lee - Pollard - Coulman (16) Inhibition Andrews/Lee - Pollard - Coulman (17) Andrews/Lee - Pollard - Coulman (17)	Inhibition	Levenspiel (1980)	(11)		
(1982) (12) Cellular Lee - Pollard - (13) Inhibition Coulman (1983) (14) Ghose - Thyagi (14) (1979) (14) Tosetto (15) Levenspiel/Lee - (16) Pollard - Coulman (17) Pollard - Coulman (17) Andrews/Lee - (17)		Hoppe - Hansford	(12)		
Cellular Lee - Pollard - (13) Inhibition Coulman (1983) (14) Ghose - Thyagi (1979) (14) Tosetto (15) Levenspiel/Lee - (16) Pollard - Coulman (17) Pollard - Coulman (17) Andrews/Lee - (17) Andrews/Lee - (17)		(1982)	(12)		
Inhibition Coulman (1983) Ghose - Thyagi (1979) (14) Tosetto (15) Levenspiel/Lee - (16) Pollard - Coulman (17) Pollard - Coulman (17) Andrews/Lee - (17) Andrews/Lee - (17)	Cellular	Lee - Pollard -	(13)		
Ghose - Thyagi (1979) (14) Tosetto (15) Levenspiel/Lee - (16) Pollard - Coulman (17) Pollard - Coulman (17) Andrews/Lee - (17) Andrews/Lee - (17)	Inhibition	Coulman (1983)	(10)		
Hybrid Image: Tosetto (15) Hybrid Pollard - Coulman (16) Inhibition Andrews/Lee - (17) Pollard - Coulman (17) Andrews/Lee - (17)		Ghose - Thyagi	(14)		
Hybrid Iosetto (15) Hybrid Pollard - Coulman (16) Inhibition Andrews/Lee - (17) Pollard - Coulman (17) Andrews/Lee - (17)		(1979) Togetto	(1E)		
Hybrid Pollard - Coulman (16) Inhibition Andrews/Lee - (17) Pollard - Coulman (17) Andrews/Lee -		Lowerstell	(15)		
Inhibition Andrews/Lee - (17) Andrews/Lee - (17) Andrews/Lee -	Hubrid	Pollard - Coulman	(16)		
Andrews/Lee - (17) Andrews/Lee -	Inhibition	Fonard - Counnan			
Andrews/Lee -		Pollard - Coulman	(17)		
Andrews/Lee -		Andrews /Lee			
I = I = I = I = I = I = I = I = I = I =		Pollard -	(18)		
Coulman /Levensoiel		Coulman /Levenspiel	(10)		

TABLE I. Kinetic models for specific speed of cell growth

a. Kinetic parameters: KS is the saturation constant, KIS is the substrate inhibition constate, Cpmáx is the product concentration when cell growth ceases, n is the power of the product inhibition term, u is adimensionless parameter of the model, v is a dimensionless parameter of the model, KIP is the product inhibition constant and m is a dimensionless parameter of the model

In possession of this, a specific strategy that makes it possible to obtain inference by simulation is through the use of Sequential Monte Carlo Methods (SMCs), commonly referred to as particle filters. It provides a computational approximation for the a posteriori distribution, which may be in terms of random samples (particles) and associated weights, being able to predict an unknown variable from a data set [19, 20]. From this perspective, Bayesian filters of the particle filter class are probabilistic methods that rely on a recursive algorithm for estimating and updating dynamic states of a system from models, knowledge bases, and others [21]. Particle filter methods are used in order to produce sequential estimates of the desired dynamic variables. The sequential estimation is done through interleaved prediction and data update steps. This is accomplished in such a way that the error is minimized statistically. Particle filters are traditionally applied with the following algorithms: Sampling Importance Resampling (SIR), Auxiliary Sampling Importance Resampling (ASIR) and Liu and West [20].

In general, simulation methods based on Bayesian sequential analysis of dynamic models initially arise with the proposal to sample the state variables over time using fixed parameters. In such a case, particle "friction" situations are present in resampling-based methods and "weight degeneracy" situations are seen in reweighting-based methods. However, these occurrences are handled by formulating a synthetic method of generating new sample points for parameters by targeting "artificial evolution", in which the non-dependence of parameters with time is still considered [9].

Additionally, the phenomenon of degeneracy occurs because many particles have insignificant weights. More computational effort is required so that particles with small weights can be advanced in time in the same way as large particles. The problem can be minimized by increasing the number of particles, and more efficiently, the resampling technique can be applied in order to select the best particles. The SIR and ASIR algorithms contain resampling steps [9, 20].

Although resampling reduces the effects of degeneracy this can lead to a loss of diversity and the resulting sample may contain a large amount of repeated particles, causing the sample to be impoverished. This is a serious situation in state evolution models with small noises. In this case, the "collapse", or "friction", of all particles into a single particle occurs, especially when there are small time intervals. The ASIR method is qualified to solve this problem [9, 20].

Still, Liu and West present an innovation to use the ASIR algorithm proposing to show how to estimate, besides the state variables, the parameters of the model. Similarly to the state variables, the parameters are updated, or evolved, at each time and this procedure is performed through a combination between the average for all particles and the parameter value for each particle [20].

In the Bayesian view, filter-based sequential simulation using an Auxiliary Particle Filter (APF) that incorporates state variables and parameters has excellent equivalence to Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) analysis. Liu and West demonstrated this by applying and comparing them from a dynamic factor financial model, inherent in the banking industry, to obtain perspectives on a time scale beyond the data set. In this respect, the feasibility of sequential simulation-based filtering induced approximation errors that indicated a tendency to increase over time. In other words, in an analysis it would be convenient to restrict oneself to short time scales because observing a very long horizon increased the possibility of being unrealistic. As a solution, it was proposed to use a longer historical stretch of data and, mainly, it was suggested that methods should always be combined with some form of periodic recalibration based on off-line analysis [9].

D. Simulation of alcoholic fermentation

The analysis of the experimental data aimed to evaluate the kinetic behavior of the state variables and parameters defined in (21-23).

$$\boldsymbol{\chi} = \begin{bmatrix} C_x, C_y, C_p, \mathbf{V} \end{bmatrix}$$
(21)

$$P_{\text{Tosetto}} = f(F, \mu_{max}, K_S, K_{\text{IP}}, Y_{x/s}, Y_{P/s})$$
(22)

Lucas Araújo Guimarães, et al. Estimation of parameters and state variables in an alcoholic fermen...

$$P_{\text{Hoppe-Hansford}} = f(F, \mu_{\text{max}}, K_S, K_{\text{IS}}, Y_{X/S}, Y_{P/S}, n, C_{P_{\text{max}}})$$
(23)

The case study estimates of the state variables and parameters were designed using the mathematical modeling of the fermentation simultaneously with the data acquired from the experimental measurements of Borges (2008) [22]. More precisely, they were performed based on the fed-batch fermentation operation in three experimental conditions, named in this paper as FB1, FB2 and FB3. The design parameters and initial conditions are presented in Tables II and III, respectively. Where, t is the fermentation time and tF is the feeding time, both in hours (h).

The measurements of the state variables are in Table IV–VI, and the already estimated parameter values are shown in Table VII. The values of the experimental measurements, obtained by using a temperature (T) of 32°C, of C_X , C_S , C_P (g.L⁻¹) and V (L) over time (h). In the experimental conditions, C_X is the dry biomass of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae yeast*, C_S is the sucrose concentration, C_P is the ethanol concentration and V is the verified volume in the bioreactor [22].

Design parameter values

TABLE II.

Datab	CSF (g.L-1)	t (h)	tF (h)
FB1	217	8	5.283
FB2	241.4	10	5.217
FB3	285	11	5.2

b. Values obtained from [22]

TABLE III Initial values of the state variables used in the alcoholic fermentation process

Datas	CX	CS	CP	V
Datac	(g.L-1)	(g.L-1)	(g.L-1)	(L)
FB1	88	0	35.17	1.5
FB2	83	0	36.71	1.5
FB3	83	0	36.78	1.5

c.Values obtained from [22].

Experimental measurements for the fed-batch process (FB1) with $C_{SF} = 217 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$

t (h)d	CX (g.L-1)d	CS (g.L-1)d	CP (g.L-1)d	V (L)d
0	88.0	0	35.17	1.500
1	59.0	29.0	42.6	2.116
2	46.6	34.0	47.8	2.820
3	38.2	36.0	52.6	3.479
4	33.1	39.0	55.0	4.139
5.283	27.7	35.8	58.89	4.986
6	28.7	19.85	68.0	4.986
7	29.7	5.2	74.7	4.986
8	29.7	0.894	77.6	4.986

d. Values obtained from [22]

TABLE V. Experimental measurements for the fed-batch process (FB2) with $C_{SF} = 241.4 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$.

t (h)e	CX	CS	CP	V (L)e
	(g.L-1)e	(g.L-I)e	(g.L-1)e	
0	83.0	0	36.71	1.500
1	56.0	40.0	41.8	2.168
2	44.1	53.0	47.8	2.837
3	36.3	58.11	49.6	3.505
4	31.0	56.0	51.7	4.174
5.217	26.5	64.1	55.3	4.987
6	26.8	40.0	60.4	4.987
7	27.4	21.2	69.94	4.987
8	27.5	8.0	78.2	4.987
9	28.3	2.8	80.4	4.987
10	28.4	0.899	81.2	4.987

e.Values obtained from [22]

TABLE VI.

Experimental measurements for the fed-batch process (FB2) with $CSF = 285 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$

t Muf	CX	CS	CP	V (L)f
0	83	0	36.78	1.5
1	54	51.8	43.3	2.17
2	44	66.7	47.3	2.84
3	36.6	80	49.3	3.51
4	30.6	82	53.8	4.181
5.2	26.3	88	53.82	4.985
6	26.8	66	65.7	4.985
7	27	44	70.77	4.985
8	27.1	28.3	82.1	4.985
9	27.7	18.4	85.8	4.985
10	27.8	9.8	89.3	4.985
11	28.1	4.57	91.5	4.985

f. Values obtained from [22]

Parameters	Values			
Yield	FR1	FR2	FRR	
coefficientsg	TDI	I DZ	100	
YX/S	0.024	0.021	0.017	
YP/S	0.445	0.418	0.413	
Flow rateg	FB1	FB2	FB3	
F	0.660	0.668	0.670	
General				
kinetic	FB1	FB2	FB3	
parametersg				
µmax	0.02686	0.02293	0.02701	
KS	10.40	10.31	32.02	
Kinetic				
parameters	FR1	FRO	FRS	
for Tosseto	1.01	I'DZ	1.62	
modelg				
KIS	813.8	693.4	370.0	
CPmáx	125.7	129.9	144.1	
N	0.1	0.1	0.2861	
Kinetic				
parameters				
for Hoppe-	FB1	FB2	FB3	
Hansford				
modelh				
KIP	200	200	200	

TABLE VII. Values of the yield coefficients, general kinetic parameters, and kinetic parameters for the tossetto and hoppe – hansford models

g. Values obtained from [22]. h. Abitrarily chosen value.

The deviation used for the experimental measurements was 1% from the maximum concentration value. The estimation results were presented in terms of the 99% confidence interval with 500 particles for Liu and West's algorithm.

E. Statistical analysis

The models were statistically analyzed with the coefficient of determination (R^2) , by which the simulation data of the state variables were compared with the experimental data set.

III. RESULTS



A. Estimation of the state variables

FIG. 1.

Obtaining the volume variations (V) by applying the Liu and West filter. (a–c) Tosetto model for μ_X . (d–f) Hoppe–Hansford model for μ_X



Obtaining the variations of cell concentrations (CX) – Saccharomyces cerevisiae – by applying the Liu and West filter. (a–c) Tosetto model for μ_X . (d–f) Hoppe–Hansford model for μ_X .



FIG. 3.

Obtaining the variations of the concentrations of substrate (CS) – Sucrose – by applying the Liu and West filter. (a-c) Tosetto model for μ_X . (d–f) Hoppe–Hansford model for μ_X .



FIG. 4.

Obtaining the product concentration variations (C_P) – Bioethanol – by applying the Liu and West filter. (a–c) Tosetto model for μ_X . (d–f) Hoppe–Hansford model for μ_X .

The evolution of the system volume is seen in Fig. 1, where a linear profile is observed during the process of feeding the medium, characteristic of the constant feed flow rate. The total volume becomes constant when the substrate feeding is interrupted.

In Fig. 2, we can see the immediate effects of the substrate-feeding step where initially there is a decrease in cell concentration due to the increase in the volume of the medium and the subsequent stability caused by reaching a constant system volume.

The results for substrate concentration are shown in Fig.3. It is verified that the concentration presents an increase until the time of about 5 hours (end of substrate feeding), followed by a decrease related to its consumption by the yeast cells present in the medium. Consequently, a gradual increase in ethanol production occurs during the fermentation process, as seen in Fig. 4. That is in agreement with what the literature propounds [23], in which ethanol production occurs simultaneously with yeast growth (biomass formation) because it is associated with the energy metabolism of the cell.

B. Kinetic parameters estimation

From the application of the particle filter, new values for the parameters were found for the proposed cases, as presented in Table VIII. Despite the differences in the experimental conditions, mainly referring to the C_{SF} , the yield coefficients and the kinetic parameter µmax showed stable values. While the other parameters values showed a larger difference

LATIN-AMERICAN JOURNAL OF COMPUTING, 2023, VOL. 10, NO. 2, JULY-DECEMBER, ISSN: 1390-9266 1390-91...

Dovocotova	Values						
Parameters	Tosetto Hoppe - Hansford			d			
Yields coefficients	FB1	FB2	FB3	FI	B1	FB2	FB3
YX/S	0.0213	0.0184	0.0211	0	.0264	0.0230	0.0198
YP/S	0.4155	0.4002	0.4015	0	.4402	0.3994	0.3872
Flow rate	FB1	FB2	FB3	Fl	B1	FB2	FB3
F	0.5287	0.7024	0.8052	0	.6478	0.5838	0.889
General kinetic parameters	FB1	FB2	FB3	FI	B1	FB2	FB3
µmax	0.0233	0.0215	0.0303	0	.0328	0.0313	0.0285
KS	12.086	11.528	24.982	7	.46111	12.754	24.496
Kinetic parameters for Tosseto model	FB1	FB2	FB3	FI	B1	FB2	FB3
KIS	794.88	611.09	525.68				
CPmáx	194.29	141.80	92.793				
Ν	0.0759	0.1061	0.1620				
Kinetic parameters for Hoppe- Hansford model	FB1	FB2	FB3	FI	B1	FB2	FBЗ
I KIP				12	07.62	199.89	191.13

TABLE VIII. Update parameters values

C. Statistical Analysis

$TABLE \ IX.$ Correlation coefficients $(R^2) \ of \ the \ simulation \ through \ Liu \ and \ West \ filter.$

Data	State	Kinectics models		
Data	variable	Tosetto	Hoppe-Hansford	
	CX	0.99902	0.99504	
	CS	0.99974	0.99925	
FB1	CP	0.99665	0.99648	
	V	0.99983	0.99868	
	Average	0.99881	0.99736	
	CX	0.99967	0.99922	
	CS	0.99788	0.99830	
FB2	CP	0.99664	0.99394	
	V	0.99983	0.99988	
	Average	0.99851	0.99784	
	CX	0.99766	0.99851	
	CS	0.99888	0.99859	
FB3	CP	0.99682	0.99652	
	V	0.99988	0.99997	
	Average	0.99831	0.99840	

The percent accuracy of the results with μX kinetic model by Tosetto was 99.83 – 99.88% and the best accuracy was for condition FB1 (lowest value of C_{SF} and C_P). In the other conditions, the value of the estimated data was decreasing but still with very good accuracy.

For the kinetic model of μ_X by Hoppe–Hansford the range was 99.74 – 99.84%. The best accuracy in this case was for the FB3 data (highest value of C_{SF} e C_P), it was also observed that the accuracy of the simulation was increasing and proportional to the increase in C_P , which confirms the potential of using the model to represent inhibition by the product

IV. CONCLUSION

In this study, mathematical modeling and simulation were performed to represent the alcoholic fermentation kinetics. Using the particle filter method of Liu and West, it was possible to perform the estimates of the state variables and parameters of the alcoholic fermentation process based on three experimental conditions. The applied technique showed good agreement in the estimation of all experimental conditions.

It was possible to verify the inhibition performance by the Tosetto and Hoppe–Hansford specific cell growth rate models. In this respect, the hybrid inhibition by the presence in excess of substrate and product considered by Tosetto fitted the data slightly better, although both models were 99% accurate.

The results obtained made important contributions to research involving fermentation kinetics and computational applications. Since, with the use of the mathematical models combined with the particle filter of Liu and West (2001), one can see the effectiveness of the application of this method and the potential incorporation into methodologies aimed at greater efficiency in bioethanol production, as well as in other fermentative processes.

References

- K. A. Gray, L. Zhao, and M. Emptage, "Bioethanol," *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 141– 146, 2006.
- [2] P. M. Doran, "Bioprocess Development: An Interdisciplinary Challenge," in *Bioprocess Engineering Principles*, Waltham, MA: Academic Press, 2013, ch. 1, pp. 3-11.
- [3] W. Schmidell and M.C.R. Facciotti, "Biorreatores e processos fermentativos," in *Biotecnologia industrial: Engenharia bioquímica*, vol. 2, W. Schmidell, U. A. Lima, E. Aquarone, W. Borzani, Eds., São Paulo, 1st ed, São Paulo, BR: Edgard Blu#cher, 2001, ch. 8, pp. 179–192.
- [4] H. Hiss, "Cinética do processos fermentativos," in *Biotecnologia industrial: Engenharia bioquímica*, vol. 2, W. Schmidell, U. A. Lima, E. Aquarone, W. Borzani, Eds., 1st ed, São Paulo, BR: Edgard Blu#cher, 2001,ch. 6, pp. 93–122.
- [5] L. C. Basso, S. N. Rocha, and T. O. Basso, "Ethanol Production in Brazil: The Industrial Process and Its Impact on Yeast Fermentation," in *Biofuel Production-Recent Developments and Prospects*, INTECH Open Access Publisher, 2011, pp. 85–100.
- [6] I. I. K. Veloso, "Modelagem e otimização da fermentação alcoólica em batelada alimentada a baixa temperatura," M.S. thesis, Dept. of Chem. Eng., Federal Univ. of São Carlos, São Carlos, SP, 2019.
- [7] N. Pereira Junior, E.P.S. Bom and M.A. Ferrara, "*Bioprocessos*," in Tecnologia de Bioprocessos (Séries em Biotecnologia vol. 1), Rio de Janeiro, BR: Amiga Digital, 2008, ch. 2, pp. 18-20.
- [8] A. Bonomi and W. Schmidell, "Modelagem matemática e simulação de processos fermentativos," in *Biotecnologia industrial: Engenharia bioquímica*, vol. 2, W. Schmidell, U. A. Lima, E. Aquarone, W. Borzani, Eds., 1st ed., São Paulo, BR: Edgard Blu#cher, 2001, ch. 7, pp. 123–178.

- [9] J. Liu and M. West, "Combined parameter and state estimation in simulation-based filtering,". In: A. Doucet, N. de Freitas and N. Gordon, Eds., *Sequential Monte Carlo Methods in Practice* (Statistics for Engineering and Information Science), New York, US: Springer, 2001, pp. 197–223.
- [10] P. M. Doran, "Material Balances," in *Bioprocess Engineering Principles*, Waltham, MA: Academic Press, 2013, ch. 4, pp. 87–91.
- [11] H. Hiss, "A cinética de inibição do crescimento," in *Cinética de fermentações-Uma análise matemática da atividade microbiana*, 1st ed., BR : Clube de Autores, 2013, ch. 4, pp. 306–394.
- [12] G. M. Tosetto, "Influência da matéria-prima no comportamento cinético de levedura na produção de etanol," M.S. thesis, Dept. of Biotechnological Processes, State Univ. of Campinas, Campinas, SP, 2002.
- [13] T. K. Ghose and R. D. Thyagi, "Rapid ethanol fermentation of cellulose hydrolysate,". II. product and Substrate Inhibition and Optimization of Fermentor Design. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, v. 21, no. 8, pp. 1401-1420, 1979.
- [14] G. K. Hoppe and G. S. Hansford, "Ethanol inhibition of continuous anaerobic yeast growth," *Biotechnology Letters*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 39–44, 1982.
- [15] R. Van De Schoot et al., "Bayesian statistics and modelling," Nature Reviews Methods Primers, vol. 1, no. 1, 2021.
- [16] J. V. Beck and K. J. Arnold, "Parameter estimation in engineering and science," John Wiley & Sons, New York, U.S., 1977.
- [17] J. Kaipio and E. Somersalo, "Statistical inverse problems: discretization, model reduction and inverse crimes,". *Journal of computational and applied mathematics*, v. 198, no. 2, pp. 493-504, jan. 2007.
- [18] B. M. Viegas, E. M. Magalhães, H. R. B. Orlande, D. C. Estumano and E. N. Macêdo, "Experimental study and mathematical modelling of red mud leaching: application of Bayesian techniques,". *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, v. 20, pp. 5533-5546, 2023.
- [19] T. G. Martins, "Aproximações deterministicas para distribuições a posterirori marginais," M.S, thesis, Dept. of Statistical Methods., Federal Univ. of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, 2010.
- [20] D. C. Estumano, "Estimativa de parâmetros e variáveis de estado de modelos aplicados à neurônios citomegálicos utilizando dados experimentais do protocolo de tensão fixa," Ph.D. dissertation, Graduate Program in Mech. Eng., Federal Univ. of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, 2016.
- [21] W. Betencurte Da Silva, "Aplicação de filtros de partículas para a assimilação de dados em problemas de fronteira móvel," Ph.D. dissertation, Graduate Program in Mech. Eng., Federal Univ. of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, 2012.
- [22] P. C. S. Borges, "Otimização dinâmica da fermentação alcoólica no processo em batelada alimentada," M.S. thesis, Graduate Program in Chem. Eng., Federal Univ. of Uberlândia, Uberlândia, MG, 2008.
- [23] U. A. Lima, L. C. Basso and H. V. Amorim, "Produção de etanol," in *Biotecnologia industrial: Processos Fermentativos e Enzimáticos*, vol. 3, U. A. Lima, E. Aquarone, W. Borzani, W. Schmidell, Eds., São Paulo, 1st ed., BR: Edgard Blu#cher, 2001, ch. 1, pp. 1–43.