

# A CLASS OF CONGRUENCIES ON DISTRIBUTIVE SEMILATTICE

## UNA CLASE DE CONGRUENCIAS EN SEMI-RETÍCULO DISTRIBUTIVO

Dekeba, Tolesa; Reta, Tesfu

**Tolesa Dekeba** toleyoobii2020@gmail.com  
Dire Dawa University, Etiopía  
**Tesfu Reta** tesfur2013@gmail.com  
Dire Dawa University, Etiopía

**Revista de Investigaciones Universidad del Quindío**  
Universidad del Quindío, Colombia  
ISSN: 1794-631X  
ISSN-e: 2500-5782  
Periodicity: Anual  
vol. 34, no. 1, 2022  
riuq@uniquindio.edu.co

Received: 25 September 2021  
Accepted: 10 February 2022

URL: <http://portal.amelica.org/ameli/journal/517/5173110025/>

**Abstract:** In this paper we, contribute the notation of natural epimorphism of a semilattice on the quotient semilattice and subsemilattice. If  $S$  is distributive semilattice and  $F$  is a filter of  $S$ , then we demonstrate that  $\theta_F$  is the smallest congruence on  $S$  containing  $F$  in a single equivalence class and that  $S/\theta_F$  is distributive. In addition, the author proved that map  $F \# \theta_F$  is an isomorphism from the lattice of  $F_0(S)$  all non-empty filters of  $S$  into a permutable sublattice of the lattice  $C(S)$  of all congruences on  $S$ .

**Keywords:** Congruence class of semilattice, Distributive Semilattice, Natural epimorphism of semilattice, Quotient semilattice.

**Resumen:** En este trabajo contribuimos con la notación del epimorfismo natural de una semirredura sobre el cociente semirreticulado y subsemirretículo. Si  $S$  es una semirrejilla distributiva y  $F$  es un filtro de  $S$ , entonces demostramos que  $\theta_F$  es la congruencia más pequeña en  $S$  que contiene  $F$  en una sola clase de equivalencia y que  $S/\theta_F$  es distributiva. Además, el autor demostró que el mapa  $F \# \theta_F$  es un isomorfismo de la red  $F_0(S)$  de todos los filtros no vacíos de  $S$  en una subred permutable de la red  $C(S)$  de todas las congruencias en  $S$ .

**Palabras clave:** clase de congruencia de semirredura, Semirretículo distributivo, Epimorfismo natural de semirreduras, Cociente de semirreduras.

## INTRODUCTION

In [14] (Klein Barmen 1939) introduced the concept of semilattice [13]. A semilattice is a partially ordered set in which any two elements have a greatest lower bound, but not necessarily upper bound. The class of distributive semilattices is a significant subclass of semilattices. Then, several authors were studied the class of distributive semilattices. The author refer the reader to [1], [2], [3], [4], [7], [9], [11], [17], [18], [19], and [21] for distributive semilattices. The concept of 0-distributive semilattices is another important extension of the class of distributive semilattices. In [19] (J.C.Varlet 1968) first introduced the

concept of 0-distributive lattices. Then many authors including [3], [6], [10], [15], [16], and [20] studied concept of 0-distributive for lattices and semilattices.

Let  $S$  be a semilattice. A non-empty subset  $L$  of  $S$  is called directed above if, for any  $a, b \in L$  there exists  $c \in L$  such that  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq c$ .  $L$  is said to be a final segment if, for any  $L$  and  $x \in S$ ,  $a \leq x$  implies  $x \in L$ . In addition, a non-empty subset  $L$  is called directed below if, for any  $a, b \in L$ , there exists  $c \in L$  such that  $c \leq a$  and  $c \leq b$ .  $L$  is called an initial segment if, for any  $a \in I$  and  $x \in S$ ,  $x \leq a$  implies  $x \in I$ . Following this concept, many authors developed the concept of filters and ideals of semilattice. The we refer the readers to [7], [16], [17], and [18].

Let  $S$  and  $L$  be semilattices. A map  $f: S \rightarrow L$  is said to be a homomorphism, if  $f$  is join preserving and meet preserving, that is, for all  $a, b \in S$ .

$$f(a \vee b) = f(a) \vee f(b) \text{ and } f(a \wedge b) = f(a) \wedge f(b)$$

A bijective homomorphism is a semilattice isomorphism [22]. If  $f: L \rightarrow K$  is a one-to-one homomorphism, then the sub-semilattice of  $f(S)$   $L$  is isomorphic to  $S$  and we refer to  $f$  as an embedding (of  $S$  into  $L$ ). In [18] (Swamy 1979) introduced the class of natural epimorphism of a semilattice on the quotient semilattice, and he denoted the natural epimorphism of semilattice  $S$  on the quotient semilattice by  $S/\theta_F$  by  $\pi_F$ . For any sub-semilattice  $L$  of a semilattice  $S$ , define

$$\theta_L = \{(x, y) \in S \times S : x \wedge a = y \wedge a \text{ for some } a \in L\}$$

After (Swamy 1979) nobody gives attention to the concept of congruence classes on the distributive semilattice as far as we investigate it. Therefore, by following this, we consider and demonstrate that; map  $F \mapsto \theta_F$  is an isomorphism from the lattice  $F_0(S)$  of the class of all non-empty filters of  $S$  into a permutable sublattice of the lattice  $C(S)$  of the classes of all congruencies on  $S$ . In addition, we prove that  $\theta_F$  is the smallest congruence on  $S$  containing  $F$  in a single equivalence class and that  $S/\theta_L$  is distributive.

The manuscript is structured as follows. In this section, we introduced the background of semilattice with basic results about semilattices which are already exists and needed in developing this manuscript. In Section 2, we introduced the concepts of distributive semilattices. Some important Theorems and Corollaries in distributive semilattices and pseudo-complemented semilattice were also presented in this section. In section 3, we will prove the theorems belonging to classes of congruence in distributive semilattice.

## PRELIMINARIES

In this section, the author will present some necessary notations and definitions.

Definition: 2.1. [2] Let  $S$  be a semilattice. A non-empty subset  $F$  of  $S$  is called a filter if;

- (i)  $a, b \in F$  implies  $a \wedge b \in F$  and
- (ii)  $a \in F, b \in S$  with  $a \leq b$  implies  $b \in F$ .

Definition: 2.2. [3] A non-empty subset  $I$  of  $S$  is called an ideal if;

- (i) For any  $a, b \in I$ , there exist  $c \in I$  such that  $a \leq c, b \leq c$  and
- (ii)  $a \in I, b \in S$  With  $b \leq a$  implies  $b \in I$ .

A filter (ideal)  $F$  of a semilattice  $S$  is called a proper filter (ideal) if  $F \neq S$ . A maximal filter (ideal)  $F$  of  $S$  is a proper filter (ideal), which is not contained in any other proper filter (ideal), that is, if there is a proper filter (ideal)  $G$  such that  $F \subsetneq G$ , then  $F = G$ . (see [4])

Let  $F(S)$  and  $I(S)$  denotes the set of all filters of  $S$  and the set of all ideals of  $S$  respectively. Then, for any  $a \in S$ , let  $[a]$  denote the ideal

$\{x \in S : x \leq a\}$  and  $\langle a \rangle$  denotes the filter

$\{x \in S : a \leq x\}$ . (see[11])

Definition: 2.3. [5] A semilattice  $S$  is said to be distributive if, for any  $a, b, c \in S$ , such that  $a \wedge b \leq c$ , there exist  $x, y \in S$  such that  $a \leq x, b \leq y$ , and  $x \wedge y = c$ .

Lemma 2.4. [4] If  $S$  is a distributive semilattice,  $S$  is directed above.

Proof. Suppose  $S$  be a distributive semilattice, then for any  $a, b \in S$ ,  $a \wedge b \in S$  and  $a \wedge b \leq b$ . There exists  $x, y \in S$  such that  $a \leq x, b \leq y$ , and  $x \wedge y = b$ . Also  $b = x \wedge y \leq x$ . Therefore, for any  $a, b \in S$ , there exists  $x \in S$  such that  $a \leq x$  and  $b \leq x$ .

Hence  $S$  is directed above.

Theorem 2.5. [6] Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $S$  and  $J$  a filter of  $S$  such that  $I \cap J \neq \emptyset$ . Then,  $I \cap J$  is a distributive sub-semilattice of  $S$ .

Proof. Suppose  $I$  be an ideal of  $S$  and  $J$  a filter of  $S$  such that  $I \cap J \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $a, b \in I \cap J$ , then  $a \wedge b \in I$ . Since  $I$  is the initial segment, there exist  $c \in J$  such that  $c \leq a$  and  $b \leq c$ .

This implies  $c \leq a \wedge b$  and  $a \wedge b \in J$  since  $J$  is the final segment. Therefore  $I \cap J$  is a sub-semilattice of  $S$ .

Let  $a, b, c \in I \cap J$  such that  $a \wedge b \leq c$ . There exists  $x, y \in S$  such that  $a \leq x, b \leq y$ , and  $x \wedge y = c$ . Since  $I$  is an ideal of  $S$ , there exist  $z \in I$  such that  $a \leq z, b \leq z$  and  $c \leq z$ .

Now  $c = c \wedge z = (x \wedge z) \wedge (y \wedge z)$ ,  $a \leq x \wedge z \in I \cap J$  and  $b \leq y \wedge z \in I \cap J$ . Therefore  $I \cap J$  is distributive sub-semilattice.

Definition 2.6. [19] A semilattice  $S$  with  $0$  is called  $0$  - distributive if for any  $a, b, c \in S$  such that  $a \wedge b = 0 = a \wedge c$  implies  $a \wedge d = 0$  for some  $d \geq b, c$ .

Proposition 2.7. [16] Let  $S$  be distributive semilattice. Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $S$  and  $J$  a filter of  $S$  such that  $I \cap J \neq \emptyset$  then,  $I \cap J$  is a distributive sub-semilattice of  $S$ .

Proof: Suppose that  $I$  is an ideal of  $S$  and  $J$  is a filter of  $S$  such that  $I \cap J \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $a, b \in I \cap J$ , then  $a \wedge b \in I$ . Since  $I$  is the initial segment, there exist  $c \in J$  such that  $c \leq a$  and  $b \leq c$ . Hence  $c \leq a \wedge b$  and  $a \wedge b \in J$ , since  $J$  is the final segment. Thus  $I \cap J$  is a sub-semilattice of  $S$ . Let  $a, b, c \in I \cap J$  such that  $a \wedge b \leq c$ . There exists  $x$  and  $y \in S$  such that  $a \leq x, b \leq y$  and  $x \wedge y = c$ . Since  $I$  is an ideal of  $S$ , there

exist  $z \notin I$  such that  $a \leq z$ ,  $b \leq z$ , and  $c \leq z$ . Then we have  $c = c \wedge z = (x \wedge z) \wedge (y \wedge z)$ ,  $a \leq x \wedge z \notin I \cap J$  and  $b \leq y \wedge z \notin I \cap J$ . Hence  $I \cap J$  is distributive.

Theorem 2.8. [18] Let  $(S, \wedge, \vee)$  be a lattice. Then the following are equivalent:

1.  $(S, \wedge, \vee)$  is a distributive lattice.
2.  $(S, \wedge)$  is a distributive meet semilattice.
3.  $(S, \vee)$  is a distributive join semilattice.

Corollary 2.9. [18] Every non-empty ideal (filter) of  $S$  is a distributive sub-semilattice of  $S$ .

Proof: Suppose that  $I$  is a non-empty ideal of  $S$ . Let  $a, b \notin I$  such that  $a \wedge b \leq c$ . There exist  $x, y \notin S$  such that  $a \leq x$  and  $b \leq y$ , and  $c = c \wedge y$  as  $S$  is distributive. There exist  $z \notin I$  such that  $a \leq z$ ,  $b \leq z$  and  $c \leq z$  as  $I$  is an ideal of  $S$ .

Now,  $c = c \wedge z = (x \wedge y) \wedge z = (x \wedge z) \wedge (y \wedge z) \notin I$

Hence  $I$  is distributive.

Similarly, suppose that  $J$  is a nonempty filter of  $S$ . For any  $a, b \notin J$ , there exist  $c \notin J$  such that  $c \leq a$  and

$$c \leq b \Rightarrow c \leq a \wedge b \Rightarrow a \wedge b \in J$$

as  $J$  is the final segment. Then,  $J$  is a sub-semilattice of  $S$ . Let  $a, b, c \notin J$  such that  $a \wedge b \leq c$ , there exist  $x, y \notin S$  such that  $a \leq x$ ,  $b \leq y$ , and  $x \wedge y = c$ .

Now as  $a, b$  and  $c \notin J$ , then  $x, y \notin J$ . Hence  $x \wedge y = c \notin J$ .

Therefore  $J$  is distributive.

Theorem 2.9. Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $S$  and  $L$  is a sub-semilattice of  $S$  such that  $I \cap L = \emptyset$ , then, there exists a prime ideal  $P$  of  $S$  such that  $I \subset P$  and  $P \cap L = \emptyset$ .

Proof: Suppose that  $T = \{x \notin S : a \leq x \text{ for some } a \notin L\}$ . Then  $T$  is the filter of  $S$  and  $T \cap I = \emptyset$  and by Zorn's lemma, there exists a filter  $F$  of  $S$  which is maximal among all filters containing  $L$ , and disjoint from  $I$ . Now  $I \subset S - F$  and  $(S - F) \cap L = \emptyset$ .

Let us prove  $F$  is a prime filter. Let  $x, y \notin S - F$ , then by maximalist of  $F$  there exists

$$a \in I \cap (F \vee [x]) \text{ and } b \in I \cap (F \vee [y])$$

There exist  $c \notin I$  such that  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq c$

$$\Rightarrow c \in I \cap (F \vee [x]) \cap (F \vee [y]) = I \cap (F \vee ([x] \cap [y])) \Rightarrow [x] \cap [y] \notin F$$

Therefore  $F$  is a prime filter of  $S$ .

Theorem 2.10. [21] Every maximal ideal (filter) of  $S$  is prime.

Proof: Let  $S$  be distributive semilattice and  $Q$  is a maximal filter of  $S$ . Then  $S - Q$  is minimal ideal. Then there exist a prime ideal  $I$  such that  $S - Q \subset I$  then  $Q \cap I = \emptyset$

Let  $x, y \notin Q$ , then  $x, y \notin S - Q$ . Then there exist  $a \notin I \cap (Q \wedge [x])$  and  $b \notin I \cap (Q \wedge [y])$ .

This implies there exist  $c \notin I$  such that  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq c$ , since  $I$  directed above.

Hence

$$c \in I \cap (Q \vee [x]) \cap (Q \vee [y]) = I \cap (Q \vee ([x] \cap [y])) \Rightarrow [x] \cap [y] \notin Q$$

Therefore  $Q$  is a prime filter of  $S$ . Hence the result.

Theorem 2.11. [17] Let  $S$  be a semilattice.  $S$  has a largest element if and only if the intersection of all nonempty filters is again non-empty.

Proof: Suppose that  $S$  has, a largest element says  $t$ , then  $t \in \bigcap F$ . Conversely suppose that the intersection of all nonempty filters (ideals) is again non-empty, by proposition 2.3,  $S$  has a Largest (smallest) element.

Lemma 2.12. [17] Let  $P \subseteq S$ . Then  $P$  is a prime filter of  $S$  if and only if  $S - P$  is a prime ideal.

Proof: Suppose  $P$  is a prime filter of  $S$ , it is non-empty and proper. To show  $S - P$  is directed above, let  $a, b \in S - P$ . Suppose if possible  $[a] \cap [b] \cap S - P = \emptyset$ , then  $[a] \cap [b] \subseteq P$ . This implies  $[a] \subseteq P$  or  $[b] \subseteq P$ . Since  $P$  is prime then  $a \in P$  or  $b \in P$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore  $([a] \cap [b]) \cap S - P \neq \emptyset$

So  $S - P$  is an ideal. To show that  $S - P$  is prime, suppose

$$a \wedge b \in S - P \Rightarrow a \wedge b \notin P \Rightarrow a \notin P \text{ or } b \notin P$$

Hence  $a \in S - P$  or  $b \in S - P$ .

Conversely, suppose  $S - P$  be a prime ideal, then  $S - P \neq \emptyset$ ,  $S$ . So that  $P \neq \emptyset$ ,  $S$ . Since  $S - P$  is directed above, and then  $P$  is directed below. If  $a, b \in P$  then  $a, b \in S - P$ . Since  $S - P$  is prime,  $a \wedge b \in S - P$ . Thus  $a \wedge b \notin P$ , and so  $P$  is a filter.

Now, to show that  $P$  is a prime filter, we suppose if possible  $Q \cap R \subseteq P$  and

$$Q \not\subseteq P, R \not\subseteq P \Rightarrow Q \cap S - P \neq \emptyset$$

and  $R \cap S - P \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists  $a \in Q \cap S - P$  and

$$b \in R \cap S - P \Rightarrow a \wedge b \in S - P$$

with

$$a, b \leq c \Rightarrow c \in P \cap S - P$$

This is a contradiction.

Therefore

$$Q \subseteq P \text{ or } R \subseteq P \Rightarrow P$$

is prime.

## CONGRUENCIES ON DISTRIBUTIVE SEMILATTICE

Definition 3.1. Let  $S$  be a semilattice. A homomorphism between two meet semilattices  $S$  and  $T$  is a map  $f: S \rightarrow T$  with the property that  $f(a \wedge b) = f(a) \wedge f(b)$ , where  $a, b \in S$ . A semilattice homomorphism is called semilattice isomorphism

Theorem 3.2. Let  $S$  be any semilattice, which is directed above. Then  $S$  is distributive if and only if  $S/\theta_l$  is distributive for every subsemilattice  $L$  of  $S$ .

Proof: Suppose  $S$  be a distributive semilattice and  $L$  a subsemilattice of  $S$ . Let  $x, y, z \in S$  such that

$$\pi_L(x) \wedge \pi_L(y) \leq \pi_L(z) \Rightarrow \exists a \in L$$

such that  $x \wedge y \wedge a = x \wedge y \wedge z \wedge a$ .

$$\Rightarrow (x \wedge a) \wedge (y \wedge a) \leq z \Rightarrow \exists b, c \in S$$

such that  $x \wedge a \leq b$ ,  $y \wedge a \leq c$  and  $b \wedge c = z$

Now,  $\pi_L(x \wedge a) \leq \pi_L(b)$ ,  $\pi_L(y \wedge a) \leq \pi_L(c)$  and  $\pi_L(b) \wedge \pi_L(c) = \pi_L(z)$ . Therefore  $S/\theta_l$  is distributive for every subsemilattice  $L$  of  $S$ .

Conversely, let  $a, b, c \in S$  such that  $a \wedge b \leq c$ . Choose  $d \in S$  such that  $a, b$ , and  $c \in [d]$  and put  $L = [d]$ . Since  $S/\theta_l$  is distributive, there exists  $e, f \in S$  such that  $\pi_L(a) \leq \pi_L(e)$ ,  $\pi_L(b) \leq \pi_L(f)$  and  $\pi_L(e) \wedge \pi_L(f) = \pi_L(c)$ .  $x, y$  and  $z \in F$  such that  $e \wedge f \wedge x = c \wedge x$ ,  $e \wedge a \wedge y = a \wedge y$  and  $f \wedge b \wedge z = b \wedge z$  which implies that  $e \wedge f \wedge x = c$ ,  $e \wedge a = a$  and  $f \wedge b = b$ . Therefore  $(e \wedge x) \wedge (f \wedge x) = c$ . Hence,  $S$  is distributive.

Theorem 3.3. Let  $L$  be a sub-semilattice of  $S$ . If  $J$  is an ideal of  $S/\theta_l$ ,  $I = \{x \in S : \pi_L(x) \in J\}$  is an ideal of  $S$ . Then  $J$  is a proper ideal of  $S/\theta_l$  if and only if  $I \cap L = \emptyset$ .

Also, if  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $S$  such that  $P \cap L = \emptyset$  then  $Q = \{\pi_L(x) \in S/\theta_l : x \in P\}$  is a prime ideal of  $S/\theta_l$ .

Proof: Let  $J$  be an ideal of  $S/\theta_l$ , and  $I = \{x \in S : \pi_L(x) \in J\}$ , let  $a \in I$ ,  $b \in S$  such that  $b \leq a$ . Then  $b \wedge x \leq a$  for some

$$x \in L \Rightarrow \pi_L(b) = \pi_L(x \wedge b) \leq \pi_L(a) \Rightarrow \pi_L(b) \in J$$

and hence  $b \in I$ . Therefore  $I$  is an initial segment of  $S$ . If  $\pi_L(a)$  and  $\pi_L(b) \notin J$ ,



$$\Rightarrow \exists c \in S \text{ such that } \pi_L(c) \in J, \pi_L(a) \leq \pi_L(c) \text{ and } \pi_L(b) \leq \pi_L(c)$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists x, y \in L \text{ such that } a \wedge c \wedge x = a \wedge x \text{ and } b \wedge c \wedge y = b \wedge y$$

$$\Rightarrow a \wedge c \wedge x \wedge y = a \wedge x \wedge y \text{ and } b \wedge c \wedge x \wedge y = b \wedge x \wedge y$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists d \in S, \text{ such that } a \leq d, b \leq d \text{ and } d \wedge c \wedge x \wedge y = d \wedge x \wedge y$$

$$\Rightarrow \pi_L(d) \leq \pi_L(c) \text{ and hence } d \in I.$$

Therefore  $J$  is an ideal. If  $a \notin I \cap L$ , then for any  $x \in S$ ,  $\pi_L(x) = \pi_L(x \wedge a)$  thus  $S/\theta I = J$ .

Conversely if  $S/\theta I = J$ , then for any  $x \in L$ ,  $\pi_L(x) \notin J$  and therefore  $I \cap L = \emptyset$ . Also, let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $S$  such that  $P \cap L = \emptyset$ , then  $Q$  is proper ideal of  $S/\theta I$  and let  $\pi_L(a) \wedge \pi_L(b) \notin Q$ ,  $\pi_L(a \wedge b) \notin Q$ . Then  $a \wedge b \notin P$  and since  $P$  is prime  $a \notin P$  or  $b \notin P$ .

Hence  $\pi_L(a) \notin Q$  or  $\pi_L(b) \notin Q$ . Therefore  $Q$  is prime.

Theorem 3.4. Let  $L$  and  $M$  be sub-semilattices of  $S$  such that  $L \subset M$  and let  $f: S/\theta I \rightarrow S/\theta M$  if and only if  $I \cap L = \emptyset$ . be the epimorphism defined by  $f(\pi_L(x)) = \pi_M(x)$ ,

If  $f$  is injection, then  $P \cap L = \emptyset$  implies  $P \cap M = \emptyset$ , for any prime ideal  $P$  of  $S$ .

Proof: Suppose  $P$  be a prime ideal  $S$  of such that  $P \cap L = \emptyset$  then by Theorem 3.2 above,  $\{\pi_L(x) \notin S/\theta I\}$  is a prime ideal of  $S/\theta I$  and hence  $\{\pi_M(x) \notin S/\theta M: x \notin P\}$  is a prime ideal of  $S/\theta M$ , so that  $P \cap M = \emptyset$ .

Theorem: 3.5. Let  $F$  be a filter of  $S$ , then the following are equivalent:

1.  $F$  is a prime filter
2.  $F = \{\pi_F(x) : x \in S - F\}$  is an ideal of  $S/\theta F$
3.  $S/\theta F$  has a unique maximal ideal.

Proof: (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii) Suppose that  $F$  is a prime filter, then  $S - F$  is a prime ideal.

Let  $\pi_F(x) \notin I$  and  $\pi_F(y) \notin S/\theta F$  such that  $\pi_F(y) \leq \pi_F(x)$

$$\Rightarrow \exists a \in F \text{ such that } y \wedge a = x \wedge y \wedge a \Rightarrow y \wedge a \leq x$$

$$\Rightarrow \pi_F(y) = \pi_F(y \wedge a) \leq \pi_F(x) \Rightarrow \pi_F(y) \leq \pi_F(x).$$

Therefore  $I$  is an initial segment. Let  $\pi_F(x), \pi_F(y) \notin I$  this implies  $x, y \in S - F$ . Then there exist  $z \in S - F$  such that  $x \leq z$  and  $y \leq z$ .

Again, there exists  $a \in F$  such that  $x \wedge a = x \wedge a \wedge z$  and  $y \wedge a = y \wedge a \wedge z$

$$\Rightarrow x \wedge a \leq z \text{ and } y \wedge a \leq z$$

$$\Rightarrow \pi_F(x) = \pi_F(x \wedge a) \leq \pi_F(z) \text{ and } \pi_F(y) = \pi_F(y \wedge a) \leq \pi_F(z)$$

$$\Rightarrow \pi_F(x) \leq \pi_F(z) \text{ and } \pi_F(y) \leq \pi_F(z).$$

Therefore  $I = \{\pi_F(x) : x \notin S - F\}$  is an ideal of  $S/\theta_F$ . Hence the result.

(ii)  $\rightarrow$  (iii): For any  $a \notin F$ ,  $\pi_F(a)$  is the greatest element of  $S/\theta_F$ . Hence, every ideal of  $S/\theta_F$  is contained in  $\{\pi_F(x) : x \notin S - F\}$ .

Therefore  $S/\theta_F$  has a unique maximal.

(iii)  $\rightarrow$  (i): Let  $G$  be the unique maximal ideal of  $S/\theta_F$  and let  $x$  and  $y \notin S - F$ . Since the principal ideals of  $S/\theta_F$  generated by  $\pi_F(x)$  and  $\pi_F(y)$  are proper, and it follows that  $\pi_F(x)$  and  $\pi_F(y) \notin G$ . Then, there exist  $\pi_F(z) \notin G$  such that  $\pi_F(x) \leq \pi_F(z)$  and  $\pi_F(y) \leq \pi_F(z)$ . This implies  $x \wedge z \wedge a = x \wedge a$  and  $y \wedge z \wedge a = y \wedge a$  for some  $a \notin F$ .

Then, there exist  $b \notin S$  such that  $x \leq b, y \leq b$  and

$$b \wedge z \wedge a = b \wedge a \Rightarrow b \in S - F$$

, and thus  $S - F$  is a prime ideal. Henceforth  $F$  is prime filter.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $F$  be a filter of  $S$ . Then  $F$  is a maximal filter if and only if  $S/\theta_F$  is the two-element chain.

**Proof:** Suppose  $F$  is a maximal filter, then for any  $x$ , and  $y \notin F$ ,  $\pi_F(x) = \pi_F(y)$  and for any  $x \notin F$  and  $y \notin S$ ,  $\pi_F(x) = \pi_F(y)$  implies  $y \notin F$ .

Now, let  $x$  and  $y \notin S - F$ . Since  $F$  is maximal, there exist  $a, b \notin F$ , such that  $a \wedge x \leq y$  and  $b \wedge y \leq x$ . Hence  $\pi_F(x) = \pi_F(a \wedge x) \leq \pi_F(y) = \pi_F(b \wedge y) \leq \pi_F(x)$ .

Therefore  $\pi_F(x) = \pi_F(y)$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $S/\theta_F$  is the two-element chain. Suppose  $x$ , and  $y \notin S - F$ . Choose an element  $z \notin F$ , such that  $\pi_F(z) \neq \pi_F(x)$ . Hence  $\pi_F(x) = \pi_F(y)$ . Then there exist  $a \notin F$  such that  $x \wedge a = y \wedge a$  and  $y \notin F \vee [x]$ .

Therefore, for any  $x \notin F$ ,  $F \vee [x] = S$ . Thus  $F$  is maximal. Hence the result.

**Theorem 3.7.** The map  $F \mapsto F/\theta_F$  is an isomorphism from the lattice  $F_0(S)$  of all non-empty filters  $S$  of into a permutable sublattice of the lattice  $C(S)$  of all congruencies on  $S$ . Hence  $\{\theta_F : F \in F_0(S)\}$  is a distributive and permutable sublattice of  $C(S)$ .

**Proof:** Suppose  $I$  and  $J$  are any filters of  $S$ . Then  $\theta_I \cap J \subset \theta_I \cap \theta_J$  and let  $(x, y) \in \theta_I \cap \theta_J$ , there exist  $a \notin I, b \notin J$  such that  $x \wedge a = y \wedge a$  and  $x \wedge b = y \wedge b$ .

$$\Rightarrow c \in S \text{ such that } a \leq c, b \leq c \text{ and } x \wedge c = y \wedge c$$

Now since  $c \notin I \cap J$ , then  $(x, y) \notin \theta_I \cap \theta_J$ . Hence  $F/\theta_F$  is a lattice homomorphism.

Also let us consider  $I, J \in F_0(S)$  and  $\theta_I \subset \theta_J$ . Let  $x \notin I$ , choose  $y \notin J$  then there exist  $z \notin I$  such that  $x \leq z$  and  $y \leq z$ .



Now  $(x, y) \# \theta_i \in \theta_j$  and since  $z \# J$ , we have  $x \# J$ . There  $I \subset J$  fore and hence  $F \# \theta F$  is a lattice isomorphism of  $F_0(S)$  onto the sub lattice  $\{\theta F : F \# F_0(S)\}$  of  $C(S)$ .

Note that the lattice  $C(S)$  of all congruencies on a semilattice  $S$  need not be distributive, even when  $S$  is distributive. Hence the result.

Example 3.7. Let

$$S = \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0, a, b\}$$

, with partial order given by  $0 < a < n, 0 < b < n$ , for all and when ever is positive for

$$n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$$

and  $m < n$  when ever  $m - n$  is positive for all

$$m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$$

Then  $S$  becomes distributive semilattice, with  $a \wedge b = \text{glb}\{a, b\} \# a, b \# S$

## CONCLUSION

In general, we introduced the notation of natural epimorphism of a semilattice on the quotient semilattice. Let  $L$  and  $M$  be sub-semilattices of  $S$  such that  $L \subset M$  and let  $f: S/\theta_l \rightarrow S/\theta_m$  be the epimorphism defined by  $f(\pi_L(x)) = \pi_M(x)$ . Also, we can conclude that if  $f$  is injection map, then  $P \cap L = \emptyset$  implies,  $P \cap M = \emptyset$  for any prime ideal  $P$  of  $S$ . Additionally, for a distributive semilattice  $S$ , let  $F$  be a filter of  $S$ , then  $\theta F$  is the smallest congruence on  $S$  containing  $F$  in a single equivalence class and that  $S/\theta f$  is distributive. Similarly, map  $F \# \theta F$  is an isomorphism from the lattice  $F_0(S)$  of all non-empty filters of into a permutable sublattice of  $S$  the lattice  $C(S)$  of all congruencies on  $S$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.

The authors would like to thank the editorial board and the anonymous referees for the comments and suggestions and constructive ideas on this paper. In particular, the correspondent author would like to express the special thanks to his wife, Ms. Tigist Tamirat for her support.

## REFERENCES

- Balasubramani, P., and R. Viswanathan. "Characterizations of the 0-distributive poset." *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography* 8, no. 1 (2005): 81-98.
- Balbes, Raymond. "A Representation Theory for Prime and Implicative Semilattices." *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 136 (1969): 261-67. Accessed December 16, 2020. doi:10.2307/1994713.

- Chajda, Ivan, Radomír Halaš, and Jan Kühr. *Semilattice structures*. Vol. 30. Lemgo: Heldermann, 2007.
- Celani, Sergio, and Ismael Calomino. "Some remarks on distributive semilattices." *Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae* 54, no. 3 (2013): 407-428.
- Celani, Sergio Arturo, and Kiyomitsu Horiuchi. "Topological Representation of Distributive Semilattices." *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae* 58, No. 1 (2003): 55-65.
- Celani, Sergio Arturo. "Distributive lattices with a negation operator." *Mathematical Logic Quarterly* 45, no. 2 (1999): 207-218.
- Cornish, W.H., Hickman, R.C. Weakly distributive semilattices. *Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae* 32, 5–16 (1978). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01902195>
- Frink, Orrin. "Ideals in partially ordered sets." *The American Mathematical Monthly* 61, no. 4 (1954): 223-234.
- Gratzer, George. *Lattice theory: First concepts and distributive lattices*. Courier Corporation, 2009.
- Hickman, Robert. "Mildly Distributive Semilattices." *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society. Series A. Pure Mathematics and Statistics* 36, no. 3 (1984): 287–315. doi:10.1017/S1446788700025374.
- Hickman, R.C. Distributivity in semilattices. *Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae* 32, 35–45 (1978). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01902200>
- Jayaram, C. Semilattices and finite Boolean algebras. *Algebra Universalis* 16, 390–394 (1983). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01191793>
- Kiss, S. A. "Semilattices and a ternary operation in modular lattices." *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* 54, no. 12 (1948): 1176-1179.
- Klein-Barmen, Fritz. "Axiomatische Untersuchungen zur Theorie der Halbverbände und Verbände." *Deutsche Mathematik* 4 (1939): 32-43.
- Murty, P.V.R., Rao, V.V.R. Characterization of certain classes of pseudo complemented semi-lattices. *Algebra Universalis* 4, 289–300 (1974). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02485741>
- Pawar, Y. S., and N. K. Thakare. "O-Distributive Semilattices." *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin* 21, no. 4 (1978): 469-475.
- Rhodes, Joe B. "Modular and distributive semilattices." *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 201 (1975): 31-41.
- Swamy Maddana, U. "Distributive semilattices." In *Mathematics seminar notes*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 211-233, 1979.
- Varlet, J.C. On separation properties in semilattices. *Semigroup Forum* 10, 220–228 (1975). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02194889>
- Varlet, J.C. A generalization of the notion of pseudo-complementedness, *Bull.Soc.Sci.Liege*, 37(1968), 149-158.
- Y. S. Pawar and N. K. Thakare, 0-Distributive semilattices, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 21 No. 4 (1978), 469-475.